2016-2017 Assessment Cycle UC_ Student Support Services

Mission (due 1/20/17)

University Mission

The University of Louisiana at Lafayette offers an exceptional education informed by diverse worldviews grounded in tradition, heritage, and culture. We develop leaders and innovators who advance knowledge, cultivate aesthetic sensibility, and improve the human condition.

University Values

We strive to create a community of leaders and innovators in an environment that fosters a desire to advance and disseminate knowledge. We support the mission of the university by actualizing our core values of equity, integrity, intellectual curiosity, creativity, tradition, transparency, respect, collaboration, pluralism, and sustainability.

University Vision

We strive to be included in the top 25% of our peer institutions by 2020, improving our national and international status and recognition.

College / Department / Program Mission

College Mission

Provide the college mission in the space provided. If none is available, write "None Available in 2016-2017." MISSION – University College provides an academic environment to facilitate the matriculation of a diverse population of intellectually-capable undergraduate students: wishing to pursue a unique and coherent interdisciplinary program of study in an area in which there does not exist an established curriculum; who initially selected a major for which they lack the interest or preparation necessary for success, but possess a specific and attainable career development plan; or who are transitioning into other colleges and pursuing the academic requirements necessary for admission into their preferred major. In meeting the needs of these students, University College promotes retention at the University of Louisiana at Lafayette, collaborates with other colleges to elevate to the collective quality of campus learning, and enables and empowers students to achieve success in their chosen field of graduate study and/or occupation. Indeed, a distinguishing characteristic of the college is its program of intensive developmental initiatives geared toward enhancing student accomplishment.

VISION – To become a college of choice for incoming freshmen desiring to pursue an individualistic multidisciplinary curriculum founded in a strong core of liberal education that imbues students with broad knowledge, transferable skills, and a strong sense of civic duty.

Department / Program Mission

Provide the department / program mission in the space provided. If none is available, write "None Available in 2016-2017".

Help improve the retention and six year graduation rates of first generation, low income students, and students with disabilities who are participants in the Student Support Services (Regular) Program based on the required Annual Performance Report (APR).

Assessment Plan (due 1/20/17)

Assessment List (Goals / Objectives, Assessment Measures and Criteria for Success)

Assessment List

Goal/Objective	Participants in the SSS-Regular project will persist from one academic year to the beginning of the next academic year or graduate for 2015-2016 academic year.						
Legends	OO - Outcome/O	OO - Outcome/Objective (administrative units);					
Standards/Outcomes							
Assessment Measures							
	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments				
	Direct - APR (Other)	At least 58% of SSS-Regular participants will persist from one academic year to the beginning of the next academic year. Due to the lack of availability of data, for this reporting cycle I measure Fall 2015 to Fall2016.					

Goal/Objective	A percentage of all enrolled SSS Regular participants being served will meet the performance level required to stay in good academic standing at the grantee institution.				
Legends	OO - Outcome/Objective (administrative units);				
Standards/Outcomes					
Assessment Measures					
	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments		
	Direct - Annual Performance Report (Other)	No less than 75% of all enrolled SSS Regular participants being served will meet the performance level required to stay in good academic standing at the grantee institution. Due to the inavailability of data, 2015-2016 will be used for this measure.			

Goal/Objective	A percentage of SSS Regular new participants served each year will graduate from the grantee institution with a bachelor's degree or equivalent within six (6) years.
Legends	OO - Outcome/Objective (administrative units);
Standards/Outcomes	
Assessment Measures	

Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments
Direct - Annual Performance Report (Other)	No less than 32% of SSS Regular new participants served each year will graduate from the grantee institution with a bachelor's degree or equivalent within six (6) years. Due to data inavailability, 2015-2016 data will be used for this measure.	

Results & Improvements (due 9/15/17)

Results and Improvement Narratives

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Participants in the SSS-Regular project will persist from one academic year to the beginning of the next academic year or graduate for 2015-2016 academic year.

Goal/Objective	Participants in the SSS-Regular project will persist from one academic year to the beginning of the next academic year or graduate for 2015-2016 academic year.							
Legends	OO - Outcome/Objective (administrative units);							
Standards/Outcomes								
Assessment Measures								
	Assessment Measure	Criterion						
	Direct - APR (Other)	At least 58% of SSS year to the beginnin availability of data, f	ng of the next ac	ademic year. Due				
Assessment Findings								
	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives			
	Direct - APR (Other)	Has the criterion At least 58% of SSS- Regular participants will persist from one academic year to the beginning of the next academic year. Due to the lack of availability of data, for this reporting cycle I measure Fall	91% of participants persisted from Fall 2015 to Fall 2016.		- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring: SSS Director will evaluate the number of credit hours each cohort 11 students have earned at least 90 credits in order to complete their degree by Spring 2017.			

	2015 to Fall2016. been met yet? Met		

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for A percentage of all enrolled SSS Regular participants being served will meet the performance level required to stay in good academic standing at the grantee institution.

Goal/Objective	A percentage of all enrolled SSS Regular participants being served will meet the performance level required to stay in good academic standing at the grantee institution.						
Legends	OO - Outcome/Objective (administrative units);						
Standards/Outcomes							
Assessment Measures							
	Assessment Measure		Criterion				
	Direct - Annual Performance Report (Other)		will meet the per standing at the g	rformance level re	equired to stay in . Due to the inava		
Assessment Findings							
Findings	Assessment Measure	Criterion		Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives	
	Direct - Annual Performance Report (Other)	Has the criterion No less than 75% of all enrolled SSS Regular participants being served will meet the performance level required to stay in good academic standing at the grantee institution. Due to the inavailability of data, 2015-2016 will be used for this measure. been met yet? Met		96% of SSS participants remained in good standing at the end of the 2015-16 academic year.		- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring: SSS will continue to give the participants the support services they need in order to stay in good academic standing at the university.	

served each year will graduate from the grantee institution with a bachelor's degree or equivalent within six (6) years.

Goal/Objective	A percentage of SSS Regular new participants served each year will graduate from the grantee institution with a bachelor's degree or equivalent within six (6) years.								
Legends	OO - Outcome/Objective (administrative units);								
Standards/Outcomes									
Assessment Measures									
	Assessment Measure		Criterion						
	Direct - Annual Performance R (Other)		will graduate f equivalent wit	No less than 32% of SSS Regular new participants served each year will graduate from the grantee institution with a bachelor's degree or equivalent within six (6) years. Due to data inavailability, 2015-2016 data will be used for this measure.					
Assessment Findings	Assessment	Criter	rion	Summary	Attachments	Improvement			
	Measure				of the Assessments	Narratives			
	Direct - Annual Performance Report (Other)	No les of SS new p serve will gr the gr institu bache or equ six (6) to dat inavai 2016 used	he criterion ss than 32% S Regular barticipants d each year aduate from antee ition with a elor's degree uivalent within) years. Due a ilability, 2015- data will be for this ure. been met	40% of the 2015-2016 cohort participants graduated within six years of receiving services.		- Assessment Process Continuous monitoring Six-year Graduation Rate: Look for patterns what might suggest the improved graduation rate over the previous year, especially regarding the patterns of the average credit hours completed by each cohort group on the APR. Pull credit hour reports on each cohort group and compare averages as well as look at patterns that may show ways to improve the completion rate for other cohort groups.			

Reflection (Due 9/15/17)

Reflection

1) How were assessment results shared in the unit?

Please select all that apply. If "other", please use the text box to elaborate. Distributed via email (selected) Presented formally at staff / department / committee meetings (selected) Discussed informally (selected) Other (explain in text box below)

Results are shared with department head, Dean of University College, and the SSS staff and discussed formally and informally.

2) How frequently were assessment results shared in the unit?

Frequently (>4 times per cycle) Periodically (2-4 times per cycle) (selected) Once per cycle Results were not shared this cycle

3) With whom were assessment results shared?

Please select all that apply. Department Head (selected) Dean / Asst. or Assoc. Dean (selected) Departmental assessment committee Other faculty / staff (selected)

4) What were the measurable or perceivable effects on your current (2016-2017) findings based on prior action plans (created in 2015-2016)?

Effects of this year's findings are that using strategies to encourage more participation in tutoring services and using more program services has a direct impact on student success: grades, persistence, and graduation.

5) What has the unit learned from the current assessment cycle?

The unit is doing a good job of identifying program eligible students and working with students to meet the desired outcome. We need to work on engaging students more with our program by keeping things new and fresh - developing different means of communication and capturing all services students are utilizing.

Attachments

Attachments

Upload any supporting documents related to your assessment plans, results, or improvements. Documents may include rubrics, survey questions, reports, etc. There is no limit to the number of documents you can upload.

Click "Select File" to upload document(s)